REMARKS

Amendment Summary

Claims 47-99 are canceled hereby without prejudice or disclaimer. Claims 100-135 are added hereby to more clearly define subject matter of canceled claims. Applicant contends that the new claims find support in the Specification as filed and do not constitute new matter.

Examiner Interview Summary

Applicant thanks Examiner Honeycutt for the opportunity to discuss aspects of this case in an Applicant-initiated Examiner Interview with Applicant Andrew L. DiRienzo on Wednesday January 24, 2007. The Guzik reference was discussed in relation to the concepts of the claims and it was tentatively agreed that there were differences between intended subject matter, as presented by Applicant, and the Guzik reference. It was agreed that a Request for Continued Examination would be filed with new claim language to more clearly recite intended subject matter.

Applicant believes the foregoing Summary accurately reflects the substance and scope of the Examiner Interview of Wednesday January 24, 2007. Applicant requests notification if the Examiner disagrees with the accuracy or completeness of the Summary.

New Claims

Applicant believes the new claims address the concerns of the Examiner and differentiate from the cited references.

Claim 100 recites in part, "to generate a file from data entered into at least some of the labeled fields" and "to define, responsive to first data entered into the first set of labeled fields, a first subset and a second subset of the second set of labeled fields," "wherein the first subset of the second set of labeled fields is allowed to accept second data and the first subset of the second set of labeled fields contains at least one labeled field," "wherein the second subset of the second set of labeled fields is inhibited from accepting data" and "wherein the generated file is comprised of individually identifiable data fields filled with the first data and of individually

Title: ATTACHMENT INTEGRATED CLAIMS SYSTEM AND OPERATING METHOD THEREFOR

identifiable data fields filled with the second data." Applicant contends that the cited references do not teach or suggest defining two subsets of a second set of labeled fields responsive to entering first data into a first set of labeled fields, wherein the first subset is allowed to accept second data and the second subset is inhibited from accepting data. Applicant further contends that the cited references do not teach or suggest generating a file comprised of individually identifiable data fields filled with the first data and of individually identifiable data fields filled with the second data. Applicant thus contends that claim 100 and its dependent claims 101-104 are patentably distinct from the cited references.

Claim 105 recites, in part, "to present a graphical user interface (GUI) comprising two disjoint sets of labeled fields, a first set and a second set" and "to generate a file, transmittable to a selected one of M possible recipients, from data entered into at least some of the labeled fields," "wherein the file format for the generated file is selected from a predetermined set of R file formats, responsive to the first data entered into the first set of labeled fields" and "wherein the generated file is comprised of individually identifiable data fields filled with the first data and of individually identifiable data fields filled with the second data." Applicant contends that the cited references do not teach or suggest generating a file transmittable to a selected on of M possible recipients, wherein the file format of the generated file is selected from a predetermined set of R file formats responsive to first data entered into a first set of labeled fields and wherein the generated file is comprised of individually identifiable data fields filled with the first data entered into the first set of labeled fields and of individually identifiable data fields filled with second data entered into a second set of labeled fields. Applicant thus contends that claim 105 and its dependent claims 106-135 are patentably distinct from the cited references.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant contends that new claims 100-135 are patentably distinct from the cited references. Applicant thus respectfully requests entry, examination and allowance of claims 100-135.

CONCLUSION

Claims 47-99 are canceled hereby without prejudice or disclaimer. Claims 100-135 are added hereby. Claims 100-135 are now pending.

In view of the above remarks, Applicant believes that all pending claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully requests a Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. If the Examiner has any questions or concerns regarding this application, please contact the undersigned at (612) 312-2204.

Please deem this a petition for extension of time if necessary to maintain pendency of this patent application. Please charge any additional fees necessary to maintain pendency of this patent application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 501373.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 76 JAN 07

Thomas W. Leffert Reg. No. 40,697

Attorneys for Applicant Leffert Jay & Polglaze

P.O. Box 581009 Minneapolis, MN 55458-1009

T (612) 312-2200

F (612) 312-2250